

Discrete Mathematics 23 (1978) 235-240.
© North-Holland Publishing Company

A THEOREM OF THE TRANSVERSAL THEORY FOR MATROIDS OF FINITE CHARACTER

E. GYÖRI

Institute of Mathematics, Budapest V, Reáltanoda u. 13-15, Hungary

E.C. MILNER

Department of Mathematics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Received August 1977

Let $M = (S, I)$ be a matroid of finite character on the infinite set S . Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ be any system of subsets of S each having finite rank and let $\mathcal{B} = \langle B_j : j \in J \rangle$ be a finite system of sets of arbitrary rank. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the system $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ to have an independent system of distinct representatives.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ be a system of sets with index set I . We write

$$\mathcal{A}(J) = \bigcup \{A_i : i \in J\}$$

for any set $J \subset I$. A set $T \subset \mathcal{A}(I)$ is called a *transversal* of \mathcal{A} if there is a bijection $\varphi : I \rightarrow T$ such that $\varphi(i) \in A_i$ ($\forall i \in I$); we call φ a transversal function. We write $X \subseteq Y$ to denote the fact that X is a finite subset of Y .

The classical result of transversal theory is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ is a system of sets such that either (a) I is finite or (b) each A_i is finite, then \mathcal{A} has a transversal if and only if*

$$|\mathcal{A}(J)| \geq |J| \quad (\forall J \in I). \quad (1)$$

This result was first proved by P. Hall [3] for condition (a) and later by M. Hall Jr. [2] for condition (b), and so the result is conveniently referred to as Hall's theorem.

Brualdi and Scrimger [1] (using properties of matroids) extended Theorem 1.1 by giving necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a transversal of a system having an arbitrary number of finite sets and a finite number of infinite sets. Woodall [5] proved an equivalent result (Theorem 1.2 below) using a simpler, more direct argument. In this note we generalize this result by giving necessary and sufficient conditions for such systems to have a transversal which is an independent set in some independence structure.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ be a system of finite sets and let $\mathcal{B} = \langle B_i : i \in I' \rangle$ be a finite system of arbitrary sets. We can assume without loss of generality that the index sets I, I' are disjoint and then we denote by $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ the system $\langle C_i : i \in I \cup I' \rangle$, where $C_i = A_i$ ($i \in I$) and $C_i = B_i$ ($i \in I'$). For any set X we define $\mathcal{A}^*(X)$ to be (i) the empty set if \mathcal{A} has no transversal disjoint from X and (ii) the union $\bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}(J) : J \in I, |\mathcal{A}(J) \setminus X| = |J| \}$ in the case when \mathcal{A} does have a transversal disjoint from X . It is easily seen that, if $\mathcal{A}^*(X)$ is defined by (ii) (i.e. if \mathcal{A} does have some transversal disjoint from X), then $\mathcal{A}^*(X) \setminus X$ is just the common intersection of all such transversals of \mathcal{A} . Woodall's formulation [5, Theorem 5] of the result referred to above is equivalent to the following.

Theorem 1.2. *The system $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ has a transversal if and only if*

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ has a transversal} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\text{whenever } K \subset I' \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{B}(K), \text{ then} \tag{3}$$

$$|\mathcal{B}(K) \setminus (F \cup \mathcal{A}^*(F))| \geq |K| - |F|.$$

An independence structure is a pair $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{I} \rangle$, where S is a set and \mathcal{I} is a non-empty set of subsets of S satisfying the following axioms:

- (A₁) $Y \subset X \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{I}$ (hereditary);
- (A₂) $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}, |X| < |Y| < \infty \Rightarrow (\exists y \in Y \setminus X)(X \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{I})$ (exchange);
- (A₃) $X \in \mathcal{I} \Leftrightarrow$ every finite subset of X is in \mathcal{I} (finite character).

Members of \mathcal{I} are called the *independent sets* of \mathcal{M} . It follows from Zorn's lemma that if $X \subset Y \subset S$ and $X \in \mathcal{I}$, then there is a maximal independent set \bar{Y} such that $X \subset \bar{Y} \subset Y$. Also, in view of (A₂), every maximal independent subset (*basis*) of $Y \subset S$ has the same cardinality. Consequently, we can define a rank function $r(Y) = \max \{ |Z| : Z \subset Y, Z \in \mathcal{I} \}$. In Section 2 we mention some other elementary facts about independence structures.

Rado [4] proved the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. *If $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ is an independence structure and $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ is a system of subsets of S having finite rank, then \mathcal{A} has an independent transversal if and only if*

$$r(\mathcal{A}(J)) \geq |J| \quad (\forall J \in I). \tag{4}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{P}(S)$ so that all subsets of S are "independent", then Theorem 1.3 reduces to Theorem 1.1.

If $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ is an independence structure and $X \subset S$ then $\mathcal{M}_X = \langle S \setminus X, \mathcal{I}_X \rangle$ is also an independence structure, where $Y \in \mathcal{I}_X \Leftrightarrow Y \subset S \setminus X$ and $Y \cup Z \in \mathcal{I}$ whenever Z is an independent subset of X . We denote the rank-function of \mathcal{M}_X

by r_X . For $X, Y \subset S$ we define

$$r(Y|X) = r_X(Y \setminus X).$$

Thus, if $X \cup Y$ has finite rank (in \mathcal{M}), then $r(Y|X) = r(X \cup Y) - r(X)$. We say that the two sets X, Y are *compatible* if $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ and if $X' \cup Y' \in \mathcal{J}$ whenever $X' \subset X$, $Y' \subset Y$ and $X', Y' \in \mathcal{J}$. Now suppose that $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ is a system of rank-finite subsets of S . It follows immediately from Theorem 1.3 (consider \mathcal{M}_X) that \mathcal{A} has an independent transversal compatible with X if and only if

$$r(\mathcal{A}(J)|X) \geq |J| \quad (\forall J \in \mathcal{J}).$$

Now we define $\mathcal{A}_X^*(X)$ to be (i) the empty set if \mathcal{A} has no transversal compatible with X and (ii) the union $\bigcup \{\mathcal{A}(J) : J \in I, r(\mathcal{A}(J)|X) = |J|\}$ in the case when \mathcal{A} does have an independent transversal compatible with X . Note that if $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{P}(S)$, then $\mathcal{A}_X^*(X)$ is just the set $\mathcal{A}^*(X)$ defined previously.

We are now able to state the generalization of Theorem 1.2 which is analogous to Rado's extension of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{J} \rangle$ be an independence structure on S . Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ be a rank-finite system of subsets of S and $\mathcal{B} = \langle B_i : i \in I' \rangle$ a finite system of arbitrary subsets of S . Then $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ has an independent transversal if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ has an independent transversal} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\text{whenever } K \subset I', F \in \mathcal{B}(K) \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{J}, \text{ then} \tag{6}$$

$$r(\mathcal{B}(K) \cup \mathcal{A}_X^*(F)) \geq |K| - |F|.$$

2. Some remarks on independence structures

A *circuit* of an independence structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{J} \rangle$ is a minimal dependent set, i.e. a set $C \subset S$ such that $C \notin \mathcal{J}$ but $C' \in \mathcal{J}$ for every proper subset C' of C . By (A_3) , circuits are finite. The following two lemmas are well-known, but we include their short proofs.

Lemma 2.1. If C_1, C_2 are distinct circuits and $x \in C_1 \cap C_2$, then there is a circuit $C \subset C_1 \cup C_2 \setminus \{x\}$.

Proof. Suppose false. Then $C_1 \cup C_2 \setminus \{x\} \in \mathcal{J}$ and so every basis of $C_1 \cup C_2$ has cardinality $|C_1 \cup C_2| - 1$. Now $C_1 \cap C_2 \in \mathcal{J}$ and so there is a basis B of $C_1 \cup C_2$ which contains $C_1 \cap C_2$. But then B contains either C_1 or C_2 , a contradiction.

Lemma 2.2. If $X \subset S$ and \bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2 are bases of X , then

$$Z \cup \bar{X}_1 \in \mathcal{J} \Leftrightarrow Z \cup \bar{X}_2 \in \mathcal{J} \quad (\forall Z \subset S \setminus X).$$

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $Z \cup \bar{X}_1 \in \mathcal{I}$, $Z \cup \bar{X}_2 \notin \mathcal{I}$. Then $Z \cup \bar{X}$ contains a circuit C such that $Z \cap C \neq \emptyset$. We can assume that C is chosen so that $|X \cap C \setminus \bar{X}_1|$ is minimal. Now $C \not\subseteq Z \cup \bar{X}_1$ and so there is some $x \in X \cap C \setminus \bar{X}_1$. Also, since \bar{X}_1 is a basis, there is a circuit $C' \subseteq \{x\} \cup \bar{X}_1$. By Lemma 2.1 it follows that there is a circuit $C'' \subseteq C \cup C' \setminus \{x\}$ and this contradicts the minimality condition assumed for C .

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\mathcal{I}_X = \{Y \subseteq S \setminus X : Y \cup \bar{X} \in \mathcal{I}\}$, where \bar{X} is any basis of X . Also, for disjoint sets $X, Y \subseteq S$, $(\mathcal{I}_X)_Y = \mathcal{I}_{X \cup Y}$.

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ be a system of subsets of S which has an independent transversal. If $J_1, J_2 \subseteq I$ and

$$r(\mathcal{A}(J_i)) = |J_i| \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

then

$$r(\mathcal{A}(J_1 \cup J_2)) = |J_1 \cup J_2|.$$

Proof. Let φ be an independent transversal function of \mathcal{A} . Then $\varphi(J_i)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{A}(J_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Also $\varphi(J_1 \cup J_2)$ is an independent subset of cardinality $|J_1 \cup J_2|$. Suppose $r(\mathcal{A}(J_1 \cup J_2)) > |J_1 \cup J_2|$. Then there is $x \in \mathcal{A}(J_1 \cup J_2) \setminus \varphi(J_1 \cup J_2)$ such that $\{x\} \cup \varphi(J_1 \cup J_2) \in \mathcal{I}$. There is $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $x \in \mathcal{A}(J_i)$ and now we have the contradiction that $\{x\} \cup \varphi(J_i)$ is an independent subset of $\mathcal{A}(J_i)$ of cardinality $|J_i| + 1$.

We remarked earlier that if the system of finite sets \mathcal{A} has a transversal disjoint from X , then $\mathcal{A}^*(X) = \bigcap \{T : T \text{ is a transversal of } \mathcal{A} \text{ disjoint from } X\}$. We conclude this section by noting an analogous description of $\mathcal{A}_\mu^*(X)$. A set Y is said to *depend upon* $X \subseteq S$ if $\{x\} \cup \bar{X} \notin \mathcal{I}$ whenever $x \in Y \setminus X$ and \bar{X} is a basis of X . We write $Y \mid X$ if Y depends upon X and $Y \nmid X$ if this is false.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathcal{M} = \langle S, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ be an independence structure on S , $X \subseteq S$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ be a system of rank-finite subsets of S which has an independent transversal compatible with X . Then $\mathcal{A}_\mu^*(X) = \bigcup \{A_i : i \in I \text{ and } A_i \mid T \cup X \text{ for every independent transversal } T \text{ of } \mathcal{A} \text{ compatible with } X\}$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(X)$. Then there are $J \in I$ and $i \in J$ such that $r(\mathcal{A}(J) \mid X) = |J|$ and $x \in A_i$. Let T be any independent transversal of \mathcal{A} compatible with X . Then $T \cap \mathcal{A}(J)$ is a basis for $\mathcal{A}(J) \setminus X$ in \mathcal{M}_X and hence $A_i \mid T \cup X$.

Now suppose that $i \in I$ and $A_i \nmid T \cup X$ for every independent transversal T of \mathcal{A} compatible with X . By hypothesis $A_i \nmid X$. Let \bar{A}_i be a basis for $A_i \setminus X$ in \mathcal{M}_X . Then for each $x \in \bar{A}_i$, the system \mathcal{A} has no transversal compatible with $X \cup \{x\}$ (otherwise there is an independent transversal T such that $\{x\} \nmid T \cup X$). Therefore, by Theorem 1.3, there is $J_x \in I$ such that $r(\mathcal{A}(J_x) \mid X \cup \{x\}) < |J_x|$. Since

$r(\mathcal{A}(J_x) \mid X) \geq |J_x|$, it follows that

$$r(\mathcal{A}(J_x) \mid X) = r(\mathcal{A}(J_x) \cup \{x\} \mid X) = |J_x|.$$

Put $J^* = \bigcup \{J_x : x \in \bar{A}_i\}$. By Lemma 2.3, $r(\mathcal{A}(J^*) \mid X) = |J^*|$ and $r(\mathcal{A}(J^*) \cup A_i \mid X) = |J^*|$. Since \mathcal{A} has an independent transversal compatible with X , it follows that $i \in J^*$ and hence that $A_i \subset \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(X)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

3.1. Necessity

Suppose $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ has an independent transversal T . Then (5) holds trivially. It remains to verify that (6) also holds.

Let $K \subset I'$, $F \in \mathcal{S}$, $F \in \mathcal{J}$. Let $\varphi : I \cup I' \rightarrow T$ be a transversal function. In order to prove (6) it will be enough to show that $r(\varphi(K) \mid F \cup \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F)) \geq |K| - |F|$ or, equivalently, that

$$r_F(\varphi(K) \setminus F \mid \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F) \setminus F) \geq |K| - |F|. \quad (7)$$

If $\mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F) = \emptyset$ then (7) holds trivially and so we may assume that $\mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $r_0 = r_F(\varphi(K) \setminus F \mid \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F) \setminus F)$. Then for each $(r_0 + 1)$ -element subset X of $\varphi(K) \setminus (F \cup \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F))$ there is a finite set $G(X) \subset \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F) \setminus F$ such that $G(X) \in \mathcal{J}_F$ and $X \cup G(X) \notin \mathcal{J}_F$. Put $G^* = \bigcup \{G(X) : X \subset \varphi(K) \setminus (F \cup \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F)), |X| = r_0 + 1\}$. Then $r_F(\varphi(K) \setminus (F \cup \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F)) \mid G^*)$ is also equal to r_0 . Now, by Lemma 2.3 and the definition of $\mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F)$, there is $J^* \in I$ such that $r_F(\mathcal{A}(J^*) \setminus F) = |J^*|$ and such that $G^* \cup (\varphi(K) \cap \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F)) \subset \mathcal{A}(J^*)$. Since $T' = \varphi(K \cup J^*) \in \mathcal{J}$, it follows by the exchange property (A_2) that there is $T'_1 \subset T' \setminus F$ such that $T'_1 \cup F \in \mathcal{J}$ and $|T'_1| \geq |T'| - |F|$. Also, since $T'_1 \in \mathcal{J}_F$ and $r_F(\mathcal{A}(J^*) \setminus F) = |J^*|$, it follows, again by (A_2) , that there is $T'_2 \subset T'_1 \setminus \mathcal{A}(J^*)$ such that $T'_2 \in \mathcal{J}_{F \cup \mathcal{A}(J^*)}$ and $|T'_2| \geq |T'_1| - |J^*|$. Now $T'_2 \subset \varphi(K) \setminus (F \cup \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F))$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= r_F(\varphi(K) \setminus (F \cup \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F)) \mid G^*) \\ &\geq r_F(\varphi(K) \setminus (F \cup \mathcal{A}_\mu^*(F)) \mid \mathcal{A}(J^*) \setminus F) \\ &\geq |T'_2| \geq |T'| - |F| - |J^*| = |K| - |F|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (7).

3.2. Sufficiency

We now assume that (5) and (6) hold and deduce that $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ has an independent transversal.

Let $K \subset I'$. We will define a set $F(K) \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ such that $F(K) \in \mathcal{J}$ and such that \mathcal{A} has an independent transversal compatible with $F(K)$. Put $F_0 = \emptyset$. Let $0 \leq l < k = |K|$ and suppose we have already chosen a set $F_l \in \mathcal{B}(K)$ such that $F_l \in \mathcal{J}$, $|F_l| = l$ and such that \mathcal{A} has an independent transversal T_l compatible with F_l . Note that

this is true for $l=0$ in view of (5). By (6), $r(\mathcal{B}(K) \mid F_l \cup \mathcal{A}_K^*(F_l)) > 0$. Hence there is an element $x_l \in \mathcal{B}(K) \setminus (F_l \cup \mathcal{A}_K^*(F_l))$ such that x_l does not depend upon $F_l \cup \mathcal{A}_K^*(F_l)$. Put $F_{l+1} = F_l \cup \{x_l\}$. Suppose \mathcal{A} has no independent transversal compatible with F_{l+1} . Then there is some $J \in I$ such that $r(\mathcal{A}(J) \mid F_{l+1}) < |J|$. Then $r(\mathcal{A}(J) \mid F_l) \leq |J|$ and since $B_l = I_l \cap \mathcal{A}(J) \in \mathcal{F}_l$ and has cardinality $\geq |J|$, it follows that $|B_l| = |J|$, and $\mathcal{A}(J) \subset \mathcal{A}_K^*(F_l)$. Now $B_l \cup F_{l+1} \in \mathcal{F}$ and so $x_l \mid B_l \cup F_l$ and hence $x_l \mid F_l \cup \mathcal{A}_K^*(F_l)$, a contradiction. Thus $F_{l+1} \in \mathcal{F}$, $|F_{l+1}| = l+1$ and \mathcal{A} does have an independent transversal compatible with F_{l+1} . By induction this defines F_l for $0 \leq l \leq k$ and then $F(K) = F_k$ has the desired properties.

Now put $F^* = \bigcup \{F(K) : K \in I'\}$ and let $\mathcal{B}' = (B_i \cap F^* : i \in I')$. We want to show that the system $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}'$ of rank-finite subsets of S satisfies Rado's condition (4). This will imply that $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}'$ has an independent transversal and hence so also has $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. Let $K \in I'$, $J \in I$. Then

$$r(\mathcal{B}'(K) \cup \mathcal{A}(J)) \geq r(F(K) \cup \varphi_K(J)) = |K| + |J|,$$

where $\varphi_K : I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(I)$ is a transversal function such that $\varphi_K(I)$ is an independent transversal of \mathcal{A} compatible with $F(K)$.

4. A remark about condition (6)

In proving the necessity part of Theorem 1.4 we actually proved that the stronger condition

$$(\forall K \in I)(\forall X \subset S) \quad (r(\mathcal{B}(K) \mid X \cap \mathcal{A}_K^*(X)) \geq |K| - |X|) \quad (6')$$

holds. Also in proving the sufficiency part, we only really need the weaker condition

$$(\forall K \in I)(\forall F \subset \mathcal{B}(K)) \quad (|F| = |K| - 1 \Rightarrow r(\mathcal{B}(K) \mid F \cup \mathcal{A}_K^*(F)) \geq |K| - |F|). \quad (6'')$$

Thus (5), together with any of (6), (6'), (6'') are equivalent pairs of conditions.

References

- [1] R.A. Brualdi and B. Scrimger, Exchange systems, matchings, and transversals, *J. Combinatorial Theory* 5 (1968) 244-257.
- [2] M. Hall, Jr., Distinct representatives of subsets, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 54 (1948) 922-928.
- [3] P. Hall, On representatives of subsets, *J. London Math. Soc.* 10 (1935) 26-30.
- [4] R. Rado, Axiomatic treatment of rank in infinite sets, *Can. J. Math.* 1 (1949) 337-343.
- [5] D.R. Woodall, Two results on infinite transversals, *Combinatorics, Proc. Oxford Combinatorial Conference* (1972).